UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/537,463	06/03/2005	Nicolaas Johannes Damstra	PF020159	4725
	7590 02/18/201 d, Patent Operations	EXAMINER		
THOMSON Licensing LLC P.O. Box 5312			ADEGEYE, OLUWASEUN	
Princeton, NJ 0	8543-5312		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2621	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			02/18/2010	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/537,463	DAMSTRA ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	OLUWASEUN A. ADEGEYE	2621				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 11/21	1/2003					
	action is non-final.					
· <u> </u>						
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims	•					
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1 - 10</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>6 - 10</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1 - 5</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r					
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>11/21/2003</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment(s)	. 🗖					
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) ☐ Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da					
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	5) 🔲 Notice of Informal P					
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6) Other:						

Application/Control Number: 10/537,463 Page 2

Art Unit: 2621

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

1. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1 and 4 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1 5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Wilkinson (US 2002/0164149 A1) in view of Cornog et al (US 2002/0009172 A1).

As to **claim 1**, Wilkinson discloses a method for recording data, said method being implemented on a video recorder (381) (see [006]) and comprising the steps of: recording using said video recorder a data container having a given container length (see [008], [020], [068] and [071])

recording using said video recorder a length indicator(see [008], [020], [068] and [071])

recording using said video recorder a value indicative of the container length (see [008], [020], [068] and [071])

Wilkinson does not disclose recording using said video recorder a key indicative of a back-pointer.

Application/Control Number: 10/537,463 Page 3

Art Unit: 2621

Cornog discloses recording using said video recorder a key (disk offset) indicative of a back-pointer (see [023]).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have added the key indicative of a back pointer taught by Cornog to the apparatus of Wilkinson to provide random access to each element in the interleaved data stream (see [005]).

As to claim 2, Wilkinson in view of Cornog discloses a method according to claim

1. Wilkinson discloses with the further step of:

recording the length indicator (see [008], [020], [068] and [071])

As to claim 3, Wilkinson in view of Cornog discloses a method according to claim

2. Cornog discloses with the further step of:

recording the key indicative of the back-pointer (see [023]).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have added the key indicative of a back pointer taught by Cornog to the apparatus of Wilkinson to provide random access to each element in the interleaved data stream (see [005]).

As to **claim 4**, grounds for rejecting claim 1 apply to claim 4 in its entirety.

As to **claim 5**, Wilkinson discloses a method according to claim 4, wherein the sets of data are key length value KLV encoded (see [068]).

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to OLUWASEUN A. ADEGEYE whose telephone number is (571)270-1711. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday 7:30 - 5:00 EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Marsha D. Banks-Harold can be reached on 571-272-7905. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Marsha D. Banks-Harold/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2621

02/04/2010

/O.A/

Application/Control Number: 10/537,463

Page 5

Art Unit: 2621